

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Fred Nazif

\_\_\_\_\_,  
Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. C 13-05498 EMC

v.

Computer Sciences  
Corporation

\_\_\_\_\_,  
Defendant(s).

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

- ☐ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  
☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  
☐ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

☒ Private ADR (please identify process and provider) Mediation by private

mediator, as agreed to by the parties.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

☐ the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*

☒ other requested deadline 120 days from date of ADR order

Dated: May 2, 2014

/s/ Christopher R. LeClerc  
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: May 2, 2014

/s/ F. Daniel Wood Jr. (pro hac vic  
Attorney for Defendant

CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE


**[~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER**

☒  
☐

The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.

The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/15/14



When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."

**SIGNATURE ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.

Dated: 5/7/14

**Le Clerc & Le Clerc LLP**

By: /s/ Christopher R. LeClerc  
Christopher R. LeClerc, Esq.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
FRED NAZIF

LE CLERC & LE CLERC LLP  
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 1019 ♦ San Francisco, CA 94104